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The New Frontier: Can Faculty be Consistent When Rating Clinical Skills Virtually?

Leona Hidalgo, PT, DPT, EdD, Tatiana Godoy Bobbio, PT, BSPT, MSc, PhD, Christine Salmon, PT, DPT, Rosniall Marinas, PT, DPT, Leiselle Pilgrim, PT, DPT, MPH, and Mariesol Wallace, PT, DPT

University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, Doctor of Physical Therapy Program, Miami

Introduction
Accreditation criteria mandate the evaluation of student technical skills. The emerging need for DPT programs to deliver course content remotely and subsequently assess student clinical skills highlights the lack of research surrounding faculty rating consistency when evaluations occur virtually.

This study aimed to investigate rating consistency among faculty testers when assessing clinical skills virtually.

Methods
A convenience sample of 623 student practical scores across the DPT curriculum was utilized. Faculty utilized checklist rubrics to evaluate students' case-based virtual practical performances. One-Way ANOVA and Post hoc analysis were utilized to determine differences between faculty raters.

Results
- There were 4 to 7 faculty raters per course
- On average faculty tested 7 to 13 students
- Student virtual practical testing time varied: 11-20 minutes (47.5%), 21-30 minutes (25.5%), 41-60 minutes (15%)

Discussion
The recent COVID-19 pandemic increased the need for innovative virtual methods for testing technical skills taught in physical therapy programs. Assessing if consistency between faculty raters can be maintained in the virtual environment is essential in determining the effectiveness of this form of examination. The results of this study indicate that consistency appears to be better maintained earlier in the curriculum, the reason for this trend is unknown. Some difference in how faculty rated students could be attributed to the difference in the courses.

Conclusion
Faculty rating of students' virtual skills performance were more consistent in the first year of the DPT curriculum. There is the possibility more faculty rating errors during the second year of the curriculum may have impacted how the students were rated. Even with the differences in faculty rating, virtual skills practicals may be an acceptable option for DPT programs.
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